

Sustainability at Research Universities – US Overview and USC Case Study

Dan Mazmanian

Chair, USC Presidential Working Group on Sustainability in
Education, Research, and Operations

Professor of Public Policy

University of Southern California

REFORM 24

August 24-28, 2020

How to Reach Carbon Neutrality/Climate Neutrality

Outline

- Contextual Factors Affecting University Sustainability Initiatives
- Variety of Approaches
- A case study: University of Southern California
- Questions and Discussion

Contextual Factors Affecting University Sustainability

Structural

- Public versus private; four year college versus university
- Governing body state or public authority versus private self-governed
- Wealth and access to financial capital

Political

- Political leaning of state, strength of state environmental, energy, and sustainability policies and regulations
- Local energy and building codes

University Specific Features

- Vision, leadership, commitment
- Organizational capacity (having a Chief Sustainability Officer, centralized versus decentralized decisions making)

Variety of Approaches

(see AASHE, STARS under reference for fuller listing)

Comprehensive University Planning for Sustainability –

University of Vermont (Hill and Wang 2018)

Concentrating University Resources in a School –

Stanford U. new School of Sustainability; Columbia U. new school of climate change

Organizing Curriculum based on Sustainability Competencies -

- Systems thinking
- Futures thinking (or anticipatory)
- Values thinking (or normative)
- Strategic thinking (or action-oriented)
- Collaboration (or interpersonal) (Wiek *et al* 2015)

Cont: Variety of Approaches

Emphasis on Sustainability Research –

MIT's Grand Challenges

Emphasis on Commitment to Carbon Neutrality –

University of California system-wide commitment to carbon neutrality in electricity by 2025; Stanford U's 100% renewable power by 202; Yale U's internal cap-and-trade for reduction of usage in buildings

Endowment Divestiture and Green Investing –

George Washington University's commitment to eliminate all fossil fuel investments *and reverse all emissions produced in its 200 year history*

University Of Southern California

Case Study - Structural Factors

Public versus Private – USC is a nonprofit private university, in Los Angeles, California

Organization – 2 major campuses (University Park and Health Sciences, plus several satellites); a liberal arts college and 21 specialty and professional schools

Governance – An internally appointed Board of Trustees

Student body – 20,000+ undergraduates, 28,000+ graduates

Faculty – 4,600+

Finance – \$5.5 bi. Endowment; \$900 mi. sponsored research, \$5.3 bi. annual budget (2019)

University Of Southern California

Case Study – Political Factors

- California is a “Red” state (liberal, Democratic Party voting)
- California is the leading state in the U.S. in environmental and sustainability policies
- Los Angeles is a leading sustainability City, LA Mayor is Chair of C40 cities around the world
- California policy-makers strongly support environmental protection, climate change, and sustainability policies
- Californian’s strongly support environmental protection, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and sustainability goals (Mazmanian, Jurewitz, Nelson 2019)

University Of Southern California

Case Study – University Specific Factors

Vision, Leadership, Commitment (*new* in 2019-2020)

- New University President Carol Folt’s commitment to USC sustainability (Sept. 2019)
- Formation of the USC Presidential Working Group on Sustainability representing schools, faculty, students, equity and justice, research centers, and operations to provide university-wide recommendations (Sept. 2019)
- Launching of “Sustainability Plan 2028” for Campus Facilities and 10 year Capital Plan
 - DRAFT elements: carbon neutrality by 2028; zero recyclable plastics by 2022; zero waste by 2023; EV cars and truck fleet; compliance with stringent CA and LA energy building codes

Cont: University Specific Factors

Organizational capacity prior to arrival of President Folt

- *No* university sustainability plan; central senior administrative personnel to lead sustainability; little to no coordination of sustainability across academic, research, facilities, and operations
- USC has operated as classic model of decentralization:
 - Schools and facilities organized as income and cost centers
 - School deans responsible for curriculum, faculty, buildings, administration
 - Little curricular integration across school
 - Little university-wide research initiatives

Cont: University Specific Factors

School level sustainability capacity prior to arrival of Pres. Folt

- Dornsife College (liberal arts) sustainability plan and programs being initiated; individual environment and health courses throughout campus
- Some *cost-effective* energy, water, and waste measures initiated under Capital and Facilities Plan (2015-2020)
- University Academic Senate Committee on Sustainability
- Undergraduate student groups on sustainability – Environmental Student Assembly and Environmental Core

Year 1 Under New Leadership - Selected Achievement (Fall 2019—Spring 2020)

- GHG reduction by 20% per square foot from 2014 base
- 20% food purchased from sustainable sources
- GHG reduction of electricity use by 33% from 2014 base
- USC Hotel sustainability program – receives best University hotel award
- Zero Waste football games (90% or more waste diverted from land fill) for the 70,000+ seat sports arena
- Installation of solar panels on Galen sports arena
- Paperless university events (multiple)

Cont: Year 1 Under New Leadership – Selected Achievement (Fall 2019–Spring 2020)

Presidential Working Group Recommendations

(1) Sustainability Education

- Require an introductory sustainability course for all first year students
- Require an experiential learning sustainability course to graduate
- Provide recognition and support of School-level sustainability curricular initiatives and faculty course development

(2) Sustainability Research

- Set a goal of 3-4 university sustainability themes and initiatives, jointly by faculty, office of Research, Provost, President

Cont: Year 1 Under New Leadership

(3) Establish a Chief Sustainability Officer

- Charge CSO with overall responsibility for coordination and cooperation across education, research, and operations sustainability activities at the university
- 14 functions identified:
 - Advisor to the President on sustainability
 - Manager of CSO office
 - Repository of information on all major sustainability activities at USC
 - Facilitate coordination on sustainability among all USC campuses and business entities
 - Etc.

Year 2 – Selected Actions and Activities Underway (July and August 2020)

- Initiation of a pilot program in introductory sustainability education (expected to reach 600+ students by end of the academic year)
- 2028 Facilities and Capital Plan completed and moving toward adoption by the President and Board of Trustees (delayed by COVID until Fall)
- New CSO position approved to oversee facilities and operations
- New senior administrator and many new faculty hires committed to the sustainability initiative

Cont: Year 2 –Actions Underway (July and August 2020)

- Major research centers collaboration on sustainability themes (several faculty seed-grants funded by Center on Sustainable Solutions)
- First university “Sustainable Grand Challenge” under discussion
- USC collaborating with City of LA on several initiatives:
 - renewable electricity
 - Mobility and EVs
 - green canopy
 - recycled water

Thank you for your attention

Questions and Comments

Selected References

- AASHE, STARS (a transparent, self-reporting framework for colleges and universities to measure their sustainability performance), <https://reports.aashe.org/institutions/participants-and-reports/>
- Hill, Laura and Deane Wang (2018). Integrating sustainability learning outcomes into a university curriculum, *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, Vol 19, No. 4 2018
- National Academy of Sciences: Strengthening Sustainability Programs and Curricular at the Undergraduate and Graduate Levels (forthcoming), <https://www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/strengthening-sustainability-programs-and-curricula-at-the-undergraduate-and-graduate-levels>
- Mazmanian, Daniel, John Jurewitz, and Hal Nelson (2019). “ State Leadership in U.S. Climate Change and Energy Policy: The California Experience,” *Journal of Environment and Development*; <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1070496519887484>
- Warren, Annie, *et al* (2014), Sustainability Education Framework for Teachers: Developing sustainability literacy through futures, values, systems, and strategic thinking, *Journal of Sustainability Education*, Vol 6, May
- Wiek, Arnim, *et al* (2015), Operationalizing Competencies in Higher Education for Sustainable Development, Chapter 16, *Routledge Handbook of Higher Education for Sustainable Development*